

#### **2021 Campus Compression Report Form**

The purpose of this form is to inform the campus community, SUNY System Administration, and UUP about the allocation of salary compression/inversion increases pursuant to the guidelines and methodology established through negotiations between the State/SUNY/UUP, including permissible discretion used by the administration to address campus-specific circumstances. Any questions should be addressed to Human Resources.

Year of distribution <u>2021</u>
Amount of DSI funds available for compression/inversion (dollar figure of 0.5% of campus
salaries) <u>\$235,798</u>
Amount of compression/inversion salary increases actually distributed (Could be equivalent
to 0.5% of campus salaries or more if other DSI funds were added)
\$235,798
Was a minimum threshold used for allocation of compression/inversion increases? I.e., Was there a dollar amount of identified compression/inversion for full-time employees (pro-rated for part-time employees) below which identified compression was not remediated?

Was the compression/inversion money distributed proportionately across UUP members who have compression/inversion identified for campus remediation. In other words, did all individuals identified for compression/inversion increases get the same percentage of their compression/inversion remediated?

Yes – The compression/inversion pool was distributed proportionately across all UUP members who had compression/inversion identified (in excess of \$1,000). An individual's amount of compensation is directly proportional to their amount of compression/inversion when compared to the total amount of compression/inversion.

12.85%

Campuses have discretion to exclude certain types of employees from the regression analysis and from remediation (i.e. receipt of salary increases to remediate compression). Identify if any of the following permissible exclusions were made by the campus administration:

# Excluded Yes/No

N/A	Employees paid on a fee basis if their salaries cannot effectively be annualized
and they e	arned less than \$2,500 in the 26 pay periods preceding the date of the payroll used
to perform	the regression.
N/A	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
more as of	the payroll used to perform the regression.
<u>Yes</u>	Visiting academics with less than four years of service in title (being careful NOT
to exclude	those temporarily in Qualified Academic Rank for tenure clock stop purposes).
<u>N/A</u>	Division 1 head coaches who have individually negotiated, market-based
contracts (	in addition to a standard appointment letter).
<u>Yes</u>	Faculty compensated at unusually high rates relative to other faculty in the same
=	nt/discipline (primarily at the University and Health Science Centers) whose salary
<u>deviates si</u>	<i>qnificantly</i> from predicted salary given academic rank, market, and years in rank.
regression compressi	have discretion to exclude certain types of employees who were included in the analysis from remediation (i.e. receipt of salary increases to remediate on). Identify if any of the following permissible exclusions were made by the Iministration:
Excluded	
Yes/No	
	Part-time academics paid on a bi-weekly or other non-annual salaried basis with
less than 2	years of service
Ves	Part-time professionals haid on a hi-weekly or hourly basis with less than 2 years

\_Employees working under a settlement agreement which includes an exit

Full-time employees who have received notices of non-renewal

# Data sources used for market salary benchmarks

New employees with less than one year of service

## **For Academics**

of service
<u>Yes</u>

N/A strategy

CUPA 2021 Faculty in Higher Education Survey

## For Professionals

CUPA 2021 Professionals in Higher Education Survey