Reading Number 29
from *In Praise of Pork*
by John Ellwood
and
Eric Patashnik

N.B. Though only part of sentence is highlighted, the whole reference as described is to be read.

p. 190, ¶ 2, sentence 2—“Indeed, since the first Congress convened in 1789…”

IBID., ¶ 3, sentence 2—“Many observers see pork barrel spending not only as a…”

Note, p. 190: Definition of *pork barrel* found in footnote at bottom of page.

p. 191, ¶ 2, line 4—“Yet restrictions in pork barrel spending have also been…”

IBID., ¶ 4, sentence 2 + remainder of ¶—“We believe in pork not because every new dam or overpass needs to be…”

IBID., ¶ 5, whole ¶—“No bill tastes so bitter to the average member of…”

IBID., ¶ 6, line 4—“The object of government is therefore not to suppress…”

Note, p. 192: The footnote on the bottom of the page on Gramm-Rudman-Hollings.

p. 192, ¶ 3, sentences 1& 2—“No reasonable observer would argue that pork barrel spending has always been…”

p. 193, ¶ 3, sentence 1—“Political pork, then, offers a congressman’s constituents…”

IBID., ¶ 3, sentence 1—“But if pork is a permanent part of the political landscape…”

p. 194, ¶ 3, whole ¶—“If reductions in popular programs and increases in taxes…”